arXiv:1412.3874v2 [math.GT] 23 Aug 2015

Width of a satellite knot and its companion

Zhenkun Li and Qilong Guo

August 25, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the conjecture by Alexander Zupan that $w(K) \geqslant n^2 w(J)$, where w denotes the width, K and J are a satellite knot and its companion, respectively, and the winding number of the pattern is n. Also, we prove that for a satellite knot with braid pattern, this equality holds.

1 Introduction

Width was introduced by Gabai in 1987 in [2] and since then it has become a powerful tool in the study of knots and 3-manifolds.

The theorem we prove in this paper was originally a conjecture by Zupan in [6]. Yet he only proved a weaker result that $w(K) \geqslant 8n^2$ for a satellite knot k and winding number n in that paper. In [7] Zupan proved another result related to the conjecture, stating that $w(K) = q^2 w(J)$, where k is a (p,q)-cable knot of J and q acts as the winding number. In this paper, we strengthen his results and prove:

Theorem. Let K be a satellite knot with companion J and the winding number (of the pattern) is n. Then :

$$w(K) \geqslant n^2 w(J).$$

It is longly established that for a satellite knot k and its companion j,

$$b(K) \geqslant nb(J);$$

and for a connected sum $k = k_1 \# k_2$,

$$b(K) = b(K_1) + b(K_2) - 1.$$

Here b denotes the bridge number. For a long time people guessed that width would have similar properties. Yet the behavior of width under connected sum operation proves to be rather complicated as $w(K_1) + w(K_2) - 2 \geqslant w(K) \geqslant \max\{w(K_1), w(K_2)\}$ and both boundaries are tight. One can refer to [1, 3, 4]

for such discussions. It is quite interesting that our theorem shows that width and bridge number have some similarities under the operation of taking satellite.

In order to prove the main theorem, we first construct a graph out of a tubular neighborhood of the companion knot j. Then we show that the graph has a unique loop l. Finally we prove respectively $w(L) \geqslant w(J)$ and $w(K) \geqslant n^2 w(L)$. In section 2 we introduce some basic concepts; in section 3 we construct the graph and establish some basic properties for it; in section 4 we associate each knot with a word in F_2, the free group generated by two elements, and then use it to help calculate the width.

2 Preliminaries

Regard $S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ as the unit sphere in the 4-dimensional space and let $h : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \to x_4$. Then the restriction of h on S^3, which we shall also denote by h, is a Morse function on S^3. It has two critical points, which we denote by $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ respectively. This Morse function h on S^3 will be fixed throughout the paper.

Now we introduce some basic definitions about satellite knots and width.

Definition 2.1 (Satellite knot)**.** Let $\hat{k} \subset \hat{V} \subset S^3$ be a knot in an unknotted solid torus in S^3. Let $j \subset S^3$ be a non-trivial knot in S^3. Let $V = N(j)$ be a tubular neighborhood of j in S^3. Let $f : \hat{V} \to S^3$ be an embedding so that $f(\hat{V}) = V$. Let $k = f(\hat{k})$. Then k is called a satellite knot with companion j and pattern $\hat{k}$.

Definition 2.2 (Winding number)**.** Keep the definitions of $k, \hat{k}$ and $\hat{V}$, and let D be any meridian disk of $\hat{V}$. Let $n = |lk(\partial D, \hat{k})|$, where lk denotes the linking number of two knots in S^3. Then n is called the winding number (of the pattern) for a satellite knot k. Here n always takes non-negative integral values.

Definition 2.3 (Width)**.** Let h be the fixed Morse function on S^3. Fix any knot type K and let $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the set of all knots $k \in K$ such that $h|_k$ is Morse, k does not contain $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ and the critical points of $h|_k$ are in distinct levels. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, suppose all the critical values of $h|_k$ are $c_1 < c_2 < ... < c_m$. Choose values $r_1, r_2, ..., r_{m-1}$ such that $c_i < r_i < c_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., m-1$, and let $\omega_i(k) = |k \cap h^{-1}(r_i)|$. Finally define

$$w(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \omega_i(k),$$

and

$$w(K) = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} w(k).$$

Then $w(k)$ and $w(K)$ are called respectively the width of a particular embedding $k \subset S^3$ and the width of a knot (type) K. See figure 1.



Figure 1: The width of trefoil is 8

Remark 2.4. In the definition of width, the number $b(k) = \frac{m}{2}$, which is always an integer for a knot k, is called the bridge number of a particular embedding $k \subset S^3$ and similarly

$$b(K) = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} b(k)$$

is called the bridge number of a knot (type) K. For more dicussions regarding bridge number, readers are referred to [5].

Convention 2.5. We will always use lower case letters such as k, j, l to denote particular embeddings of S^1 into S^3. On the other hand, corresponding capital letters K, J, L are used to denote their knot types.

3 The graph

Let h be the fixed Morse function on S^3 with two critical points $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Let $V \subset S^3$ be a solid torus such that ∂V does not contain $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, $h|_{\partial V}$ is Morse and all critical point of $h|_{\partial V}$ are in distinct levels. Suppose all the critical values of $h|_{\partial V}$ are $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$, and let

$$M = V - (\cup_{i=1}^{n} h^{-1}(c_i)) - (+\infty) - (-\infty).$$

Construction 3.1 (The graph $\Gamma(V)$)**.** Each connected component of M is a vertex of $\Gamma(V)$. Edges are built between two vertices which are separated by a (2-dimensional) component of $(V - M)$.

Remark 3.2. Largely this definition is the same as definition 2.2 in [4]. Actually the general concept of (connected) graph is introduced and studied in that paper. In



Figure 2: Embed $\Gamma(V)$ into V The black lines are the boundary of the solid torus V and the interior of V is bounded by them. The red line indicates the embedding of $\Gamma(V)$ into $\mathring{V}$.

the rest of this paper we will also use the word vertex to refer to its corresponding connected component of M.

It follows from the definition that each vertex of $\Gamma(V)$ has a product structure $P \times (c_i, c_{i+1})$, where P is a horizontal planar surface (or horizontal pieces as defined later) and c_i, c_{i+1} are the two critical values that bound the vertex from below and above. We can embed $\Gamma(V)$ into the interior of V as follows:

i) Pick one point in the interior of each component of M.

ii) For two adjacent vertices, connected the two points in the two vertices by a monotone decreasing arc in the interior of V.

Then $\Gamma(V)$ can be seen as a 1-dimensional complex in $\mathring{V}$. See figure 2.

In order to prove that $\Gamma(V)$ has a unique loop, first we need some preparations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $V \subset S^3$ be a knotted solid torus and $T = \partial V$ such that T does not contain infinite points, $h|_T$ is Morse and all critical points of $h|_T$ are in distinct levels. Then for any regular value r of $h|_T$ such that $h^{-1}(r) \cap T$ has a component being an essential curve on T, there exists a component of $h^{-1}(r) \cap V$ which has exactly 1 essential boundary and this essential boundary is a meridian on T.*

Proof. Since r is a regular level, $h^{-1}(r)$ is a 2-sphere and $h^{-1}(r) \cap T$ is the disjoint union of some simple closed curves. Pick out all such essential curves (at least



Figure 3: Find α and P

essential ones exist) and find an innermost curve α so that one side of α on $h^{-1}(r)$ does not contain any other essential curve. Let P denote a component of $h^{-1}(r) - T$ of which the only essential boundary is α. See figure 3. If $P \subset V$ then it will be the desired component.

Suppose, on the contrary, that P is not contained in V, then $P \cap \mathring{V} = \phi$. Each non-essential boundary of P bounds a disk on T. One by one attach those disks to P along their boundaries and then pull them slightly out of V. Then we would get a disk D properly embedded in $S^3 - \mathring{V}$ with boundary α. This implies that T is compressible in the exterior of V in S^3, which contradicts to the assumption that V is knotted.

Now it remains to show that α is a meridian on T. By using the same method as in the proof, the desired component P can be made into a properly embedded disk $\hat{P}$ in V with boundary α. Then α must be a meridian in T. □

Definition 3.4. Let r be a regular value of $h|_T$. Then each component of $h^{-1}(r) \cap V$ is called a horizontal piece. If a horizontal piece has exactly 1 essential boundary component, it is called a p-disk (punctured meridian disk).

Corollary 3.5. *Let r be a regular value of $h|_T$. Then any essential component of $h^{-1}(r) \cap T$ is a meridian on T.*

Proof. Let α be an essential component of $h^{-1}(r) \cap T$, if there exists any. Then by lemma 3.3 there exists a p-disk P with essential boundary β and β is a meridian on T. Then either $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha \cap \beta = \phi$. In both cases α is a meridian. □

Corollary 3.6. *The graph $\Gamma(V)$ is not a tree if V is knotted.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\Gamma(V)$ is a tree. Then use the proposition 2.3 of [4], there exists a collection $\mathcal{H}$ of handle-bodies so that $V \cup_\partial \mathcal{H} = S^3$

and a nonempty complete set $\mathcal{D}$ of meridian disks of $\mathcal{H}$ whose boundaries are all horizontal with respect to h. Let D be an element of $\mathcal{D}$ and is contained in a handle-body H and $\partial D = \alpha$. If α is essential on T, then we get a compressing disk in the exterior of V in S^3 for T, which is impossible since V is knotted. If α is not essential, then it separates T and thus also separates ∂H, which is again impossible.

In either cases there are some contradictions so the assumption that $\Gamma(V)$ is a tree is incorrect. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let l be a loop in $\Gamma(V)$ and l can be seen as a simple closed curve in $\mathring{V}$. Then l represents a nontrivial homology class in $H_1(V)$.*

Proof. l always has a local minimal point v, which by construction is also a vertex in the graph $\Gamma(V)$. Suppose v corresponds to a component C of M and C is bounded from above by a critical value c_i. Pick a regular value r so that $c_i < r < c_{i+1}$, then one component of $h^{-1}(r) \cap V$, say P, will intersect l exactly once. Since P is a properly embedded surface in V, l cannot represent the 0 homology class in $H_1(V)$. □

Corollary 3.8. *Every loop of $\Gamma(V)$ must intersect any p-disk of V nontrivially.*

Proof. Suppose P is a p-disk of V and l is a loop of $\Gamma(V)$. P can be made up to become a meridian disk $\hat{P}$ of V as shown in lemma 3.7. Since by lemma 3.7 l represents a non-trivial homology class in $H_1(V)$, l must intersect $\hat{P}$ nontrivially. Since the part $\hat{P} - P$ can be made very near the boundary T of V while l is fixed in $\mathring{V}$, $l \cap P = l \cap \hat{P} \neq \phi$. □

Proposition 3.9. *There is a unique loop in $\Gamma(V)$.*

Proof. The existence is by corollary 3.6. Now to show the uniqueness.

Suppose there are two different loop l and $l^{'}$. There are exactly 3 different loops in $l \cup l^{'}$ and no part of $l \cup l^{'}$ except some points can be covered by all these 3 loops. Then at least some p-disks cannot intersect nontrivially with all the three loops. This contradicts to the conclusion of corollary 3.8. □

4 The inequalities

Now suppose k is a satellite knot and j is its companion. Suppose V is a tubular neighbourhood of j that contains k and $T = \partial V$ does not contain infinite points. Let l be the unique loop in $\Gamma(V)$ and it can be also regarded as a knot in S^3 and is contained in $\mathring{V}$. The knot type of k, j, l are respectively K, J, L.

Proposition 4.1. $w(L) \geqslant w(J)$.

Proof. Pick any p-disk P of V. By the construction of graph and l, $|P \cap l| \leqslant 2$. If it is 2, the two intersecting points must be contained in the same vertex of $\Gamma(V)$. So l can be moved disjoint from P and thus disjoint from a meridian disk $\hat{P}$ of V, which contradicts to the fact that l represents a nontrivial homology class in $H_1(V)$ by lemma 3.7.

This means l intersects P and thus $\hat{P}$ exactly once. So l can be seen as a connected sum of j and possibly another knot $l^{'}$. Then by corollary 6.4 of [4], $w(L) \geqslant w(J)$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose the winding number for k is n, then $w(k) \geqslant n^2 w(L)$.*

To prove this proposition, we need some preparations.

Definition 4.3. By the construction of Γ, each local minimal or maximal point of l is a vertex of the graph $\Gamma(V)$, and they are called minimal or maximal vertices (according to l). Other vertices that belong to l (as a subgraph of $\Gamma(V)$) are called vertical vertices. Vertices in $\Gamma(V) - l$ are called irrelevant ones.

A basic observation is that the two vertices adjacent to a critical vertex are both vertical vertices and a horizontal piece (see definiton 3.4) in a vertical vertex intersects l exactly once.

Lemma 4.4. *Let P be a horizontal piece in a vertical vertex of $\Gamma(V)$. Then k and S has at least n (geometric) intersecting points, where n denotes the winding number for k.*

Proof. Pick a p-disk S that is disjoint from P, then the meridian disk $\hat{S}$ made out of S is also disjoint from P. Cutting off $\hat{S}$ from V we get $V^{'}$, and $\partial V^{'}$ consists of part of $T = \partial V$ and two copies of $\hat{S}$, say $\hat{S}_+$ and $\hat{S}_-$. Since the winding number is n, $k \cap V^{'}$ contains at least n arcs that start from $\hat{S}_+$ and end at $\hat{S}_-$. Note that $l \cap V^{'}$ is also an arc that starts from $\hat{S}_+$ and ends at $\hat{S}_-$ and P separates $l \cap V^{'}$ into two parts. Thus P also separates $V^{'}$. Then each arc of $k \cap V^{'}$ that starts from $\hat{S}_+$ and ends at $\hat{S}_-$ must intersect P at least once. Altogether k intersects P at least n times. □

Now we want to isotope l into a better position. Suppose the critical points of l, form the lowest to the highest, are $q_1, q_2, ..., q_m$. Each q_j is a critical vertex and hence to a component C_j of M. For a minimal (maximal) critical point q_j of l, suppose C_j is bounded by a critical values c_{i_j} of $h|_T$ form above (below). Move q_j up (down) to the critical point on c_i while keeping it being a critical point, no more critical points of l are created and $l \subset V$. Do this on each critical point of l and l becomes $\hat{l}$. This process can be realized by an isotopy since each vertex of $\Gamma(V)$ has a product structure. See figure 4.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the set of all knots $k \in K$ such that $h|_k$ is Morse, k does not contain infinite points and the critical points of $h|_k$ are in distinct levels. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, associate a word in $F_2 =< a, b >$ to k as follows:



Figure 4: Isotope l

Suppose all the critical points of k are $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ and p_{i+1} is higher than p_i. Then associate $x = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n$ to k, where $\alpha_i = a$ if p_i is a local minimal critical point and $\alpha_i = b$ if p_i is a local maximal critical point.

Let φ be the map from F_2 to $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\varphi(a) = 2$, $\varphi(b) = -2$ and $\varphi(\alpha_1...\alpha_t) = \varphi(\alpha_1) + ... + \varphi(\alpha_t)$. For a word $y = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_t$, let $y_i = \alpha_1...\alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2..., t$ and define $w(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi(y_i)$. Using the notations in definition and let x be the word associate to $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we conclude that $\omega_i(k) = \varphi(x_i)$ and $w(k) = \sum \varphi(x_i) = w(x)$. This also shows that if x is a word associated to some knot then $\varphi(x_i)$ always takes non-negative values.

Now do an operation on k to make it into another knot k' as follows: Suppose the critical points of $\hat{l}$, form the lowest to the highest, are $q_1, q_2, ..., q_m$. Each q_j corresponds to a critical vertex of $\Gamma(V)$ and hence to a component C_j of M as in definition. Note that after the isotopy on l, q_j lies on a critical level c_{i_j}. Pick a regular value r_j slightly larger (smaller) than c_j so that no other critical point of $T, \hat{l}, k$ is in between r_j and c_{i_j}. There are two horizontal pieces P_j and Q_j on $h^{-1}(r_j)$ which respectively belong to the two vertical vertices adjacent to the critical vertex corresponding to q_j. P_j and Q_j cut k into arcs and those arcs of $k - P_j - Q_j$ that intersect C_j nontrivially will be disjoint from any other C_{i_t} for $t \neq j$, since l is unique. If β is such an arc that intersects C_j nontrivially, create an arc γ so that β and γ have the same end points, γ has exactly one inner critical point which is minimal (maximal) and γ is contained in $h^{-1}(c_{i_j}, r_j)$ ($h^{-1}(r_j, c_{i_j})$). Then replace β by γ and do this repeatedly until no arc of $k - P_j - Q_j$ intersects C_{i_j} nontrivially. Thus we finish the operation for a particular minimal (maximal) point of $\hat{l}$. See figure 5.

Do the above operation for every minimal or maximal point of $\hat{l}$ and k becomes



Figure 5: Operation on k

a new knot k_m.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $y = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_t \in F_2$ is a word.*

i) Suppose $\varphi(y_i) \geqslant 0$ for $i = 1, 2..., n$ and by deleting two letter α_i, α_j where $i < j$, $\alpha_i = a$ and $\alpha_j = b$, (type 1 operation on words) y becomes $y^{'}$, then $\varphi(y^{'}) \geqslant 0$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n-2$ and $w(y) \geqslant w(y^{'})$.

ii) Suppose by exchanging two letter α_i, α_{i+1} where $\alpha_i = a$ or $\alpha_{i+1} = b$, (type 2 operation on words), y becomes $y^{'}$, then $w(y) \geqslant w(y^{'})$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Proof of proposition. Suppose the operation on $k_0 = k$ described above is performed one by one from q_1 to q_m, and after operating on q_i, k becomes a knot k_i. Associate x^i to k_i for $i = 0, 1, ..., m$. Then we can get x^{i+1} from x^i by first doing some type 1 operating and then following some type 2 operations. Thus

$$w(k) = w(x^0) \geqslant m(x^1) \geqslant ... \geqslant w(x^m) = w(k_m). \tag{1}$$

Now estimate $w(k_m)$. By definition, for a minimal (maximal) critical point q_j of $\hat{l}$, r_j is slightly larger (smaller) than c_{i_j}, so $|h^{-1}(r_j) \cap \hat{l}| = \omega_j(\hat{l})$ ($|h^{-1}(r_j) \cap \hat{l}| = \omega_j(\hat{l}) + 2$). Then there are exactly $\omega_j(\hat{l})$ ($\omega_j(\hat{l})$+2) horizontal pieces of V on $h^{-1}(r_j)$. By lemma 4.4, $|h^{-1}(r_j) \cap k| \geqslant n\omega_j(\hat{l})$. In the region $h^{-1}(c_{i_j}, r_j)$ ($h^{-1}(r_j, c_{i_j})$) there are at least n consecutive minimal (maximal) critical points of k_m.

So if q_j is maximal, the critical points of k_m in $h^{-1}(c_{i_j}, r_j)$ contribute to $w(k_m)$ no less than

$$\sum_{t=1} n\omega_j(\hat{l}) + t - 2 = n^2\omega_j(\hat{l}) + n(n-1).$$

If q_j is minimal, the critical points of k_m in $h^{-1}(r_j, c_{i_j})$ contribute to $w(k_m)$ no less than

$$\sum_{t=1} n\omega_j(\hat{l}) + 2 - t = n^2\omega_j(\hat{l}) - n(n-1).$$

Since there are exactly the same number of maximal and minimal points of a knot $\hat{l}$,

$$w(k_m) \geqslant \sum_{q_j min} (n^2\omega_j(\hat{l}) + n(n-1)) + \sum_{q_j max} (n^2\omega_j(\hat{l}) - n(n-1)) = n^2 w(\hat{l}). \tag{2}$$

Combine (1) and (2) we get $w(k) \geqslant n^2 w(\hat{l}) \geqslant n^2 w(L)$. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let K be a satellite knot with knotted companion J and the winding number of the pattern is n, Then :*

$$w(K) \geqslant n^2 w(J).$$

Proof. Choose the particular embedding k,j so that $k \subset V$ realize the width of its knot type K. Construct $\Gamma(V)$ and find the unique loop l. Then by proposition 4.1 and 4.2, we have:

$$w(K) = w(k) \geqslant n^2 w(L) \geqslant n^2 w(J).$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let K be a satellite knot with knotted companion J and the winding number of the pattern is n. If K has a braid pattern, then :*

$$w(K) = n^2 w(J).$$

Proof. By theorem 4.1, we only need to show that $w(K) \leqslant n^2 w(J)$. Pick an embedding j so that j realize the width of its knot type. Suppose the word associated to j is $x = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_t$. Embed k so that its critical points are all very near some critical point of j and is as less as possible. Since k has a braid pattern with winding number n, k can be embedded so that the word associated with k is exactly $y = \alpha_1^n\alpha_2^n...\alpha_t^n$. Calculate directly, we have:

$$w(K) \leqslant w(k) = w(y) = n^2 w(x) = n^2 w(j) = n^2 w(J)$$

. □

References

[1] Ryan Blair and Maggy Tomova. Width is not additive. *Geometry & Topology*, 17(1):93–156, 2013.

[2] David Gabai et al. Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. iii. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 26(3):479–536, 1987.

[3] Yoav Rieck and Eric Sedgwick. Thin position for a connected sum of small knots. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 2(1):297–309, 2002.

[4] Martin Scharlemann and Jennifer Schultens. 3-manifolds with planar presentations and the width of satellite knots. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 358(9):3781–3805, 2006.

[5] Jennifer Schultens. Additivity of bridge numbers of knots. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 135, pages 539–544. Cambridge Univ Press, 2003.

[6] Alexander Zupan. A lower bound on the width of satellite knots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1008.2047*, 2010.

[7] Alexander Zupan. Properties of knots preserved by cabling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.3220*, 2010.